



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,840	07/06/2001	Mark Leslie Smythe	4050.001100	8048
23720 7	7590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.			KAM, CHIH MIN	
10333 RICHM HOUSTON, T	IOND, SUITE 1100 FX 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1656	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/787,840	SMYTHE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chih-Min Kam	1656
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months are earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication. O) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thire attractions will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become All	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>15 April 2005</i> .	•
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	· —-	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic		
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the a	nnlication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-34</u> is/an		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 35</u> is/are rejected.		
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement	
	non anazor election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 200</u>	_	•
Applicant may not request that any object		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
	documents have been received.	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority		
3. Copies of the certified copies of		received in this National Stage
	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
` 🗖 .	• —	\
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P7 B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I	TO-948) Paper No(:	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/787,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-31 and 35, in the response and amendment filed August 30, 2004 and April 15, 2005 are acknowledged. In the amendment, claims 36-38 have been cancelled. Regarding selecting one ring size (5, 6 or 7 atoms), one heteroatom or carbon in the ring structure, aromatic or cyclic alkyl structure, and one functional group for each X, Y and Z in general formula (I), applicant indicates such selections reflect subspecies as initial point for search and examination and further elect an aromatic ring of 6 carbon, X being oxygen, Z being carbonyl, and Y being a nitro group. As stated in the restriction requirement (see page 2), this selection is not a species election because each compound with different cyclic structure and functional group does not have the same specicial technical feature, thus is patentably distinct. Upon reconsideration, an aromatic ring of 6 carbon atoms; X being oxygen, sulfur, CH₂O- or CH₂S; Y being the group cited in claim 2 (nitro, ketone.....iodide); Z being the group cited in claim 3 (an aldehyde....halogenated C₁₋₃alkyl group); and R₃, R₄ and R₅ being a group indicated in claim 1 for auxiliary compound will be included for examination. Therefore, claims 1-31 and 35, and the auxiliary compound having certain structure features (see above) are examined. Claims 32-34 are non-elected invention and withdrawn from consideration.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because non-dated alterations

Art Unit: 1656

have been made to the address of the inventor, Wim Denis Frans Meutermans. Applicants claim priority benefits of PCT/AU99/00812 which should be listed under 35 U.S.C. 120 instead of 119.

Informalities

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

3. The specification cites amino acid sequences (e.g., at page 8, scheme 5; page 34, line, 4, 6; and others) without indicating the sequence identifier "SEQ ID NO.". Appropriate correction is required. It appears a sequence listing for various amino acid sequences has been provided, Applicants must comply with the requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR 1.821-1.825) and have the sequence listing and CRF containing all the cited sequences in the specification.

Claim Objection

- 4. Claims 1, 11, 16 and 35 are objected to because the claim contains recitation of non-elected compounds of formula (I).
- 5. Claim 30 is objected to because the claim cites a misspelling word "synthesizing".

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1-16 and 35 are indefinite because the claims lack essential steps in the process of synthesizing a linear or cyclic peptide. The claims only recite a step of linking a cyclic aromatic

Art Unit: 1656

auxiliary compound to an amine nitrogen, there are no other steps described, e.g., how the auxiliary compound assists the formation of the peptide, and how the linear or cyclic peptide is synthesized. Claims 1 and 14 are also indefinite as to "an amine nitrogen", it is not clear which amine nitrogen the auxiliary compound links to. Claims 2-16 and 35 are included in the rejection because they are dependent on rejected claims and do not correct the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

- 8. Claim 4 is indefinite because of the use of the term "the halogenated alkyl group is a methyl group". The term renders the claim indefinite, it is not clear how the halogenated alkyl group can be a methyl group.
- 9. Claim 7 recites the limitation "General Formula II" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because there is no structure indicated. See also claims 14, 15 for General formula I, II, III or IV; claims 19, 21 and 25 for General formula III; claim 23 for General formula IV.
- 10. Claims 12-15, 24, 25 and 35 are indefinite because of the use of the term "difficult peptide". The term cited renders the claim indefinite, it is not clear what amino acid sequence is considered as "difficult peptide".
- 11. Claim 20 is indefinite because of the use of the term "if desired". The term cited renders the claim indefinite, it is not clear whether the limitation following the phrase is part of the claimed invention.
- 12. Claims 17-21 and 23 are indefinite because of the use of the term "a desired primary amine" or "a desired carboxylic acid". The term cited renders the claim indefinite, it is not clear which primary amine or which carboxylic acid is intended to be the desired one. Claims 18-21

Art Unit: 1656

and 23 are included in the rejection because they are dependent on rejected claims and do not

Page 5

correct the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

13. Claim 22 is indefinite because of the use of the term "peptide fragment". The term cited

renders the claim indefinite, it is not clear what sequence the peptide fragment refers to, and what

peptide the fragment belongs to. Claim 22 is also indefinite as to how other peptide fragments

are linked together to form a large peptide since the claim only recites the linking of first peptide

fragment to the second peptide fragment.

14. Claim 26 recites the limitation " α -nitrogen of an acid residue in the desired peptide" in

line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, it is not clear how an

acid reside can have an α-nitrogen. Claims 27-29 are included in the rejection because they are

dependent on rejected claims and do not correct the deficiency of the claim from which they

depend.

15. Claims 27 and 28 are indefinite as to "the carboxylic acid group of the C-terminal amino"

acid residue is replaced by a functional group", it is not clear which step (e.g., step a), b), c) or

d)) the carboxylic acid is replaced?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

16. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Bodanszky (U. S. Patent 3,704,246).

Art Unit: 1656

Bodanszky teaches the amino group of an α-amino acid can react with an aromatic carbonyl compound such as 5-nitrosalicylaldehyde to form a Schiff's base, which is cyclized to form a lactone, the active lactone is then reacts with another amino acid ester and treatment with aqueous acid to remove the protecting group gives a desired peptide (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 71; claims 1-3, 6-8 and 14).

Response to Arguments

In the response to restriction requirement filed August 30, 2004, applicants argue that the U.S. Patent (3,704,246) is distinct from the claimed invention because the aromatic carbonyl compound of the patent acts as a protecting group and is not involved in formation of an amide bond, while the auxiliary compound of the claimed invention, forms a Schiff base and then undergoes reductive amination to form a single bond, followed by O-acylation and then an N-to-O acyl shift to generate the tertiary amide bond, i.e., it acts to facilitate a further reaction.

Applicants' response has been fully considered, however, the argument is not persuasive because the claimed method (e.g., claims 1 and 14) only recites a single method step of linking a cyclic aromatic auxiliary compound to an amine nitrogen, and there are no other steps described. Since the patent discloses the same method step of linking an aromatic carbonyl compound to an amine nitrogen as the claimed method, which meets the criteria of the claimed method.

17. Claims 1, 3, 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ehrlich *et al.* (J. Org. Chem. 61, 8831-8838 (1996)).

Ehrlich *et al.* teach the peptide H-Arg(H⁺)-Lys(Ac)-(Hmb)Ala-Val-Tyr-OH is cyclized with a high yield (double) of cyclomonomer as compared to the unmodified peptide, H-Arg(H⁺)-Lys(Ac)-Ala-Val-Tyr-OH, where Hmb (2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzyl group) is attached to the α-

Art Unit: 1656

amino residue of Ala (scheme 1; Table 2; page 8834, right column to page 8835, left column; claims 1, 3, 6-8 and 14). Since the patent discloses the same method step of linking an aromatic carbonyl compound to an amine nitrogen as the claimed method, which meets the criteria of the claimed method.

Claim Rejections-Obviousness Type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

18. Claims 1-21, 23, 30, 31 and 35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-19, 32-35, 39, 40 and 46-52 of co-pending application 09/806,036. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-21, 23, 30, 31 and 35 in the instant application disclose a method of synthesis of a linear, cyclic peptide or on-resin cyclization of a peptide molecule, comprising linking a cyclic aromatic or alkyl auxiliary compound to an amine nitrogen atom. This is obvious variation in view of claims 8-19, 32-35, 39, 40 and 46-52 disclose a method of synthesis of a cyclic peptide or peptidomimetic compound of General Formula I or II, comprising preparing a linear peptide of General Formula III having A1 and A2, wherein A1 is N-substituent on the peptide backbone or a chemical moiety that

Art Unit: 1656

forces a cis conformation, and A2 is a group of atoms comprising a reactive functionality to form an initial large cyclic peptide prior to ring contraction to the desired substituted cyclic peptide, activating the C-terminus to form a large cyclic peptide, subjecting the large cyclic peptide to ring contraction to the desired substituted cyclic peptide, and deprotecting the substituted cyclic peptide. Since both sets of claims are directed to a method of synthesis of a cyclic peptide either in solution or on a solid support, comprising preparing a linear peptide having A1 and A2 by linking a cyclic aromatic or alkyl auxiliary compound to an amine nitrogen atom, and cyclizing the peptide. Therefore, claims 1-21, 23, 30, 31 and 35 in instant application and claims 8-19, 32-35, 39, 40 and 46-52 of the co-pending application are obvious variations of a method of synthesis of a cyclic peptide either in solution or on a solid support, comprising preparing a linear peptide having A1 and A2, where A1 and A2 are used to facilitate the cyclization reaction.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

19. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CHIH-MIN KAM PATENT EXAMINER

CMK

July 22, 2005